Case 1:06-cv-05936-KMW-DCF Document 727 Filed 05/20/11 Page 1 of 8 14sQariC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 ARISTA RECORDS LLC, et al., Plaintiff, 4 5 06 CV 5936 (KMW) V. 6 LIME WIRE LLC, et al, 7 Defendant. 8 New York, N.Y. 9 April 28, 2011 12:30 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. KIMBA M. WOOD, 12 District Judge 13 TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES 14 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff 15 BY: KELLY KLAUS 16 WILLKIE, FARR & GALLAGHER, LLP 17 Attorneys for Defendant BY: JOSEPH T. BAIO TARIQ MUNDIYA 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 (Telephone conference; in chambers) THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is Judge Wood. 2 3 I hear who I have on the line from plaintiff's counsel? This 4 is Judge Wood. Is Mr. Klaus on the line? 5 MR. KLAUS: I am. I am, your Honor. Good afternoon. THE COURT: Mr. Baio? 6 7 MR. BAIO: Yes, I am, and I'm here with Mr. Mundiya. THE COURT: Thank you. I know you are very busy 8 9 I wanted to just mention a few things. I need a list todav. 10 of the type of evidence plaintiffs expect to offer with respect 11 to punitive damages; that is, if there is a separate punitive 12 phase, what do you expect to offer as evidence? If you could 13 have that by noon tomorrow, that would be very helpful. 14 MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, I'm sorry, if I could just add 15 for clarification, do you mean just sort of categories of types of like financial documents and the like that would be used for 16 17 that phase? 18 THE COURT: Yes. Yes. 19 MR. KLAUS: OK. 20 THE COURT: With some specification of the types of 21 financial documents they would be? 22 MR. KLAUS: OK. 23 THE COURT: With respect to Mr. Gorton, it would be 24 helpful for me to know from defense counsel -- are you on the

25

line?

MR. BAIO: Yes, your Honor, we are.

THE COURT: It would be helpful for me to know from defense counsel specifically what Mr. Gorton expects to say at trial with respect to the purpose of the transfers of money.

And if I could have that by noon tomorrow, that would be helpful.

MR. BAIO: All right, your Honor, we will do that.

THE COURT: During the testimony of the lawyer from EFF, I mentioned a number of answers I needed and documents that I'd like to see if available. Can those be made available to me by noon tomorrow?

MR. MUNDIYA: Your Honor, we will reach out to Mr. Bridges and see if that is possible.

THE COURT: We are finalizing the voir dire and the jury questionnaire. I want to remind you that I will be telling the jury that the trial will be three to four weeks plus any time the jury takes for deliberation. So we will have to stay to that schedule if we expect to retain our jurors. I think it would be useful to have any voir dire of Mr. Gorton take place on Monday. I think that that will help everyone know what to expect and might even help counsel with their opening statements. So I would expect him to be here in court Monday ready to testify.

MR. BAIO: Your Honor, do you anticipate there will be the equivalent of questioning from the plaintiffs and you will

evaluate what can be said and not by him?

THE COURT: Yes. Yes. In terms of good faith belief in lawfulness of his various acts in light of assertion of the attorney-client privilege. Is that clear?

MR. BAIO: I'm not sure what they intend to ask him on that, your Honor, but if it's to see what they're going to ask and what he's going to say, that's what I understand.

THE COURT: Yes. OK, that would be useful. One moment.

(Pause)

MR. KLAUS: Your Honor, hi, this is Mr. Klaus. On the last point on the voir dire of Mr. Gorton, given that it is our understanding that he is not supposed to be offering testimony to his state of mind about the lawfulness of his conduct, I am not entirely -- I need time also to discuss this with my colleague, but I am not entirely sure what we would be expected to be asking him in terms of voir dire.

THE COURT: We have not dealt with his assertion of good faith with respect to the transfers. And I would expect, from what I heard from Mr. Baio in court, I believe that the defense expects Mr. Gorton to testify as to that. Am I right, Mr. Baio?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BAIO: Yes, your Honor. Certainly if asked the questions.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. BAIO: Yes.

MR. KLAUS: And I think Mr. Baio is — and the problem, your Honor, I'm not sure we have decided how and what we are going to ask him about his purposes. We had understood the in limine order on Bilzerian to say that it was essentially an area where he was precluded from offering testimony about the fact that they had lawful purpose in connection with these transfers.

THE COURT: Well, the opinion citing Bilzerian had to do with the lawfulness of LimeWire's business activities, not — it didn't deal with Mr. Gorton's transfers of money. I took it — tell me if I'm wrong, Mr. Baio, what I thought you were saying was that Mr. Gorton would testify that the only purpose of the transfers was estate planning.

MR. BAIO: Yes, your Honor. And that really had nothing to do with the lawfulness of the underlying LimeWire activity.

THE COURT: Right. So my expectation would be that Mr. Klaus may want to test that in some way. I would like to see what testimony defendants want to elicit from Mr. Gorton on that point. I don't know whether he did estate planning with or without a lawyer.

MR. BAIO: Understood, your Honor.

MR. KLAUS: And would that be -- I don't mean to be dense, your Honor, but just on -- you said this was for

defendants. And just two points. Well, the voir dire can be limited to the fact of what his purpose was in making the transfers for estate planning purposes.

THE COURT: Well, that is the main purpose that I see for that voir dire. If there are any other areas that need to be clarified before he takes the stand in front of the jury, I think Monday would be a good time to do it. I am not precluding you from having another voir dire of him down the road if it appears that something new has come up.

MR. KLAUS: OK, but that's one -- and then the next question, if that's -- if that's the case of what the purpose of what his transfers were and what Mr. Baio would hope to elicit from him, do you want the plaintiffs to go first or should Mr. Baio go first in terms of what he would hope to elicit from Mr. Gorton on the question of his purposes.

THE COURT: I would have thought that the defense would go first and plaintiff next, but --

MR. BAIO: And, your Honor, I understand that and will be prepared to do that. The concern I have is that I don't know what they are going to ask him on their case that might in fact be unfair in light of the rulings if he can't testify a certain way.

THE COURT: Well, this is why we're having the voir dire.

MR. BAIO: Understood. On my part I will need to know

whether -- and I will do the affirmative, I understand that, but I will need to know, I think, whether they are going to ask him questions about Grokster.

THE COURT: Well, you will find out on Monday.

MR. BAIO: Great. Thank you.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. KLAUS: And would we plan on just starting as part of the continuation of the pretrial conference we have scheduled for 11:00 a.m.?

THE COURT: I did not hear that. Was that a question for me?

MR. KLAUS: I'm sorry, your Honor. That just -- we are meeting on Monday at 11:00 a.m. is what I have.

THE COURT: Yes, that's right. And I think we would probably begin with Mr. Gorton and go on to any other loose ends.

MR. KLAUS: OK.

THE COURT: I would want to remind you that you are going to be sending letter briefing in on the IRA questions.

MR. MUNDIYA: Yes, your Honor. By noon tomorrow.

THE COURT: I think this is my final point. You were going to come up with a joint proposal for preliminary instructions to the jury after they'd been selected but before they hear evidence. You were going to merge your proposals, and I wonder if we could have that by noon on Friday.

1	MR. MUNDIYA: Yes, your Honor.
2	MR. KLAUS: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Good. Would you like to raise anything
4	with me?
5	MR. BAIO: No, your Honor.
6	MR. KLAUS: No, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: The Daubert opinion will be coming out
8	along with an opinion on other illegal services, and I hope to
9	get those out today.
10	Now, may I ask you, are settlement talks ongoing?
11	MR. BAIO: Yes, your Honor. We will probably talk
12	this afternoon with the other side.
13	THE COURT: Good. I have graded your responses to the
14	questionnaire that I gave to counsel, and I will be sharing
15	that with you at the appropriate time.
16	MR. BAIO: With bated breath, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: I'm sure it's uppermost in your minds.
18	(Laughter)
19	THE COURT: Is there anything else anyone would like
20	to raise?
21	MR. BAIO: No, your Honor.
22	MR. KLAUS: No, your Honor. Thank you. Have a good
23	afternoon.
24	THE COURT: Thank you. You too.
25	(Adjourned)